UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Puerto Rico

United States of America)	
v.)	
) Case No. 25-049 (RA	M
YAMIL HERNANDEZ-DE JESUS)	
Defendant)	

v.)	
YAMIL HERNANDEZ-DE JESUS) Case No. 25-049 (RAM)	
Defendant)	
ORDER OF DETENT	ION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eligibility for Detention		
Upon the		
	t to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or	
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own m		
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), i	s warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.	
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law	as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
and the community because the following conditions h (1) the defendant is charged with one of the foll (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term (b) an offense for which the maximum term Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 8 (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of (d) any felony if such person has been con (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) jurisdiction had existed, or a combination (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a	tions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person ave been met: lowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or ntence is life imprisonment or death; or of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act f Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or nvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs r more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal of such offenses; or	
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and	would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise	
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above committed while the defendant was on release paragraph.	e for which the defendant has been convicted was pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>	

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
\square (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment

AO 472 (Rev. 1	11/16) Order of Detention Pending	g Trial
La St Pr Pr Us Ba	ack of legal status in the Unbject to removal or depositor failure to appear in color attempt(s) to evade lase of alias(es) or false documents of the color of th	ortation after serving any period of incarceration ourt as ordered aw enforcement cuments
	ASONS OR FURTHER	
		Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
for confiner being held i with defens person in ch	nent in a corrections faci n custody pending appea e counsel. On order of	stody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative lity separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of al. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of art proceeding.
Date:	02/04/2025	s/ Héctor L. Ramos-Vega

United States Magistrate Judge